

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/766,802	Applicant(s) BABA ET AL.	
	Examiner Leonid Kravets	Art Unit 2189	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Leonid Kravets.

(3) _____.

 (2) Carl Brundidge.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2005
Time: 10:00 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim language of claims 1 and 2 discussed. Clarified unclear references and definitions used in the claims (Definition of living party computer of claims 1 and 2 and antecedent basis of first and second computer of claim 2). Claim language of claim 1 clarified. Further explained the process and interaction of the living party and standby party computers of claim 1. Further explained the first second and third processes of standby computer in claim 1..